

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 GORDON ROSS HENDERSON,)

10 Plaintiff,)

11 v.)

12 G. A. ERWIN, *et al.*,)

13 Defendants.)
14

CASE NO. MC05-0060RSM

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

15 On April 5, 2005, plaintiff filed the instant miscellaneous case. Although it is not entirely clear
16 from plaintiff's brief, it appears that plaintiff challenges an arrest that occurred in Skagit County.
17 (Dkt. #1 at 13-14). It also appears that plaintiff accuses some defendants of stalking and attempting to
18 murder him, and some defendants of improperly seizing his personal property. (Dkt. #1 at 13-14).
19 Finally, it appears that plaintiff also challenges a traffic violation and subsequent arrest. (Dkt. #1 at
20 14-16).

21 The Court subsequently ordered plaintiff to Show Cause why his case should not be dismissed
22 for failure to properly file a civil complaint. (Dkt. #22). The Court noted that plaintiff had improperly
23 filed his case as a miscellaneous action, apparently in an attempt to avoid paying the civil filing fee, but
24 that the claims raised by plaintiff can only be addressed through a properly-filed civil complaint.

25 ORDER OF DISMISSAL

26 PAGE - 1

1 Although plaintiff has responded to this Court through a letter addressed to the Honorable
2 John C. Coughenour, United States District Judge, he fails to adequately address the issue set forth in
3 the Order to Show Cause. (Dkt. #31). He apparently believes that he is not required to file a civil
4 complaint because he is not a corporation and this “court is a corporate court for corporate entities.”
5 (Dkt. #31). Plaintiff also continues to show blatant disrespect for this Court and for the Court’s
6 authority over his case by stating that it has no subject matter jurisdiction over his action, and he
7 apparently refuses to recognize the authority of the undersigned District Judge, or of any other Judge
8 in this District. (*See* Dkts. #29 and #31). It also appears that he fails to recognize the documents so
9 far submitted by any of the defendants in this case. (*See* Dkts. #30). Finally, plaintiff states that he has
10 refused to accept any mail from this Court because it has not been addressed as follows:

11 Gordon Ross: Henderson
12 P.O. Box 1812
13 Mt. Vernon, near [98273
Washington republic

14 Accordingly, this Court hereby finds and ORDERS:

15 (1) Plaintiff’s miscellaneous action is DISMISSED for failure to properly respond to the
16 Court’s Order to Show Cause, and this case is now CLOSED.

17 (2) All pending motions are hereby STRICKEN AS MOOT. (Dkts. #10, #23, #24 and #30).

18 (3) This Court will no longer accept any filings under Case No. MC05-0060RSM.

19 (4) If any further filing is received, the Clerk shall make a notation on the Court’s electronic
20 docket sheet that such filing was received, but was not filed, and was returned to the filer pursuant to
21 this Order. The Clerk shall forward a copy of this Order with the returned filing.

22 (5) The Clerk shall forward a copy of this Order to plaintiff at the address listed above in this
23
24
25

1 Order, exactly as it appears, and to all counsel of record.

2 DATED this 13th day of June, 2005.

3
4 /s/ Ricardo S. Martinez
5 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
6 United States District Judge
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24